Providing AUTHORSHIP Credits

The practice ‘I give your name and you give mine’ as author is absolutely unethical for any research, least for medical research. Reputed researchers do not allow their name to be associated with work to which they have not sufficiently contributed. Only those can be authors who have substantially contributed to all of the following: (i) conception or design, (ii) acquisition of data or their analysis and interpretation, and (iii) drafting or revising the report for its intellectual content. Each author should take public responsibility for his respective contribution. These requirements are slightly different from Vancouver guidelines. Thus those who have participated only in collection of data, those who have provided mechanical support (no or little intellectual content) as part of their duty such as for analyzing the data and radiological/ laboratory investigations, those who have helped only in acquiring funding, or those who have been merely general supervisors, can not claim to be authors. Thus authorship inflation is not allowed. Also no person contributing significantly should be omitted howsoever ‘junior’ he is. Usurping work of the disadvantaged or the vulnerable gives rise to ghost authorship. This is considered a gross misconduct. To avoid such instances, some journals now require a signed declaration that specifies contribution of each author. Also, at least one author should be identified who take responsibility of the integrity of the work as a whole.
The tendency to give the name of the head of a unit or a department just to secure his ‘blessings’ is abhorred in scientific circles. All authors must be familiar with the entire manuscript and should accept public responsibility of the contents. This in a way underscores the need to limit the number of authors to a few who are really eligible. Papers based on complex study can have a large number of authors if all of them have contributed and accept the responsibility of the contents. Possibly for this reason, number of papers in medical journals with higher number of authors is steeply increasing.

No guidelines are available to name the first author. The authors themselves decide the order of authorship. It is natural to expect, however, that the one who has contributed most, or has been the driving force all through the research endeavour, would be the first author. But he must qualify to be an author first before being named as first author. For issues such as group authorship, consult Uniform Requirements (2010).

The question of authorship for a thesis or dissertation can not be debated. The author has to be the candidate himself. However, it is necessary in this case also that the candidate has actively participated in conception and design, has collected and analysed the data himself, and has drafted the report. Thesis supervisors can help in interpretation of results, and may critically review the manuscript. Doctoral dissertation is mainly a candidate’s enterprise whereas Master’s thesis draws substantially from intellectual resources of the supervisors. Remember that the objective of a Master’s thesis is training in research methodology rather than research itself, but doctoral dissertation describes frank research.

Report of a research project is prepared by the Principal and Co-investigators, and they can claim to be the authors. However it may be necessary to comply with the requirements of the funding agency.
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